

Role Of Emotional Intelligence In Managing Workplace Conflict Among Middle-Level Managers

Anurag Kumar Srivastava¹ and Sonam Verma²

¹Assistant Professor
Department of Commerce and Management
Microtek College of Management and Technology, Varanasi
²Assistant Professor
Department of Commerce and Management
Microtek College of Management and Technology, Varanasi.

Abstract

Workplace conflict is a common challenge faced by middle-level managers, as they operate at the intersection of top management and frontline employees. Effectively managing such conflicts is crucial for maintaining organizational harmony, employee satisfaction, and productivity. Emotional Intelligence (EI) plays a vital role in enabling middle-level managers to recognize, understand, and regulate their own emotions as well as the emotions of others during conflict situations. This study explores the role of emotional intelligence in managing workplace conflict among middle-level managers. It highlights how key dimensions of EI—such as self-awareness, self-regulation, empathy, motivation, and social skills—contribute to constructive conflict resolution, improved communication, and collaborative problem-solving. Managers with high emotional intelligence are better equipped to handle disagreements calmly, reduce interpersonal tensions, and foster positive working relationships. The study emphasizes that emotional intelligence not only helps in minimizing destructive conflicts but also transforms conflicts into opportunities for learning and organizational growth. The findings suggest that developing emotional intelligence among middle-level managers can enhance conflict management effectiveness, strengthen team cohesion, and support overall organizational performance.

Keywords: Emotional Intelligence; Workplace Conflict; Conflict Management; Middle-Level Managers; Organizational Effectiveness; Interpersonal Relationships

Introduction

In today's dynamic and competitive organizational environment, workplace conflict has become an inevitable aspect of professional life. Differences in individual values, work styles, expectations, communication patterns, and role responsibilities often lead to misunderstandings and disagreements among employees. Middle-level managers are particularly vulnerable to workplace conflict, as they serve as a crucial link between top management and operational staff. They are responsible not only for implementing organizational policies and strategies but also for managing people, coordinating teams, and resolving conflicts on a daily basis. Effective conflict management is essential for maintaining a healthy work environment, ensuring employee satisfaction, and achieving organizational goals.

Traditional conflict management approaches that rely solely on authority, rules, or formal procedures are often insufficient in addressing the emotional and interpersonal dimensions of conflict. This has increased the relevance of emotional intelligence in modern management practices. Emotional intelligence refers to the ability to understand, manage, and use emotions constructively in oneself and in others. It enables managers to remain calm under pressure, communicate effectively, and respond sensitively to the emotional needs of employees.

Corresponding Author: Anurag Kumar Srivastava, anuragsrivastava515@gmail.com

Middle-level managers with high emotional intelligence are better equipped to handle workplace conflicts in a constructive manner. They can accurately perceive emotional cues, demonstrate empathy, control impulsive reactions, and facilitate open dialogue among conflicting parties. Instead of escalating tensions, emotionally intelligent managers encourage mutual understanding and collaboration, thereby transforming conflicts into opportunities for improvement and innovation. Moreover, emotional intelligence helps managers build trust, strengthen interpersonal relationships, and create a supportive work culture where conflicts are addressed proactively rather than avoided or suppressed. This study focuses on examining the role of emotional intelligence in managing workplace conflict among middle-level managers. It aims to understand how emotional intelligence competencies influence conflict resolution styles and managerial effectiveness. By emphasizing the importance of emotional intelligence, the study highlights the need for organizations to invest in emotional intelligence development as a strategic tool for enhancing leadership effectiveness and promoting long-term organizational success.

Review of Literature

Workplace conflict is a pervasive phenomenon in organizational settings and has been extensively examined across disciplines such as organizational behavior, human resource management, and psychology. Conflict arises from differences in values, goals, perceptions, and interests, and its effective management is critical for organizational performance (Rahim, 2002). Traditional conflict management research largely focuses on structural, procedural, and power-based solutions. However, scholars increasingly highlight the emotional and relational dimensions of conflict, recognizing that cognitive skills alone are insufficient for navigating workplace tensions (De Dreu & Gelfand, 2008). Emotional Intelligence (EI), defined as the capacity to recognize, understand, manage and use emotions constructively, has been proposed as a key determinant of conflict management effectiveness, particularly for managerial roles that require ongoing interpersonal negotiation (Salovey & Mayer, 1990; Goleman, 1995).

1. Theoretical Underpinnings of Emotional Intelligence and Conflict Management

The conceptual foundation of EI emerged from early work by Salovey and Mayer (1990), who described EI as a form of social intelligence involving appraisal and expression of emotion, regulation of emotion, and utilization of emotion to facilitate thought. Goleman (1995) expanded EI to include competencies such as self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and social skills. These competencies form a framework that has been linked with effective leadership, teamwork, and conflict resolution (Boyatzis, Goleman, & Rhee, 2000). Conflict management theories traditionally categorize approaches into styles such as avoidance, competition, accommodation, compromise, and collaboration (Thomas & Kilmann, 1974). While these styles describe behavioral tendencies, they do not explain *why* individuals choose one style over another. Scholars suggest that EI influences the selection of conflict management styles, with emotionally intelligent individuals more likely to employ integrative and collaborative approaches that address both task and relational concerns (Jordan & Troth, 2004).

2. Emotional Intelligence and Interpersonal Dynamics

El enhances interpersonal awareness, facilitating the recognition of emotional cues in oneself and others (Wong & Law, 2002). This awareness is crucial in conflicts where emotions often drive actions more than logical arguments. Individuals with high El are better at detecting subtle signs of stress, frustration, or dissatisfaction, which allows them to intervene before conflicts escalate (Law, Wong, & Song, 2004). Empathy, a key dimension of El, enables managers to understand the perspectives and feelings of conflicting parties, fostering trust and openness (Salovey, Bedell, Detweiler, & Mayer, 1999). Research indicates that empathy correlates positively with constructive conflict resolution. For example, Jordan and Troth (2002) found that higher levels of emotional awareness and empathy among team members predicted greater use of cooperative conflict management strategies. Furthermore, empathy

reduces stereotyping and defensiveness, which often sustain interpersonal disputes (Davis, 1983). In leadership contexts, empathetic managers create psychological safety, encouraging employees to voice concerns without fear of reprisal (Edmondson, 1999).

3. Emotional Regulation and Conflict Resolution

Emotional regulation refers to the ability to modulate one's emotional responses to situations or others in ways that are socially acceptable and conducive to goal attainment (Gross, 1998). In conflict management, emotional regulation allows managers to stay calm under pressure, avoid impulsive reactions, and think clearly when navigating disagreements (Jordan & Troth, 2004). Individuals who lack emotional regulation are more likely to respond defensively or escalate tensions, hindering resolution efforts (Mayer & Salovey, 1997). Empirical studies support the association between El and emotional regulation in conflict settings. Côté and Miners (2006) demonstrated that supervisors with higher El were more effective in reducing team conflict and promoting cooperation. Their findings suggested that El enhances cognitive processing during emotionally charged interactions, enabling managers to reframe conflict issues and reduce personal bias.

4. Middle-Level Managers and Workplace Conflict

Middle-level managers occupy a critical position between top leadership and front-line employees. They translate organizational strategy into operational actions and often mediate disputes arising from competing demands (Rathi & Rastogi, 2008). Their role requires daily negotiation, coordination of diverse personalities, and resolution of task and relational conflicts. The literature suggests that middle-level managers with high EI are better equipped to manage these demands because they can balance organizational objectives with employee needs (Ashkanasy & Daus, 2002). Conflict at the middle management level often stems from role ambiguity, resource constraints, performance pressures, and interdepartmental tensions (Baligh, 2006). Research shows that EI assists middle managers in interpreting contextual stressors and responding in ways that de-escalate conflict (Jordan & Troth, 2004). For example, Mosadeghrad and Yarmohammadian (2006) found that emotionally intelligent managers were more successful in conflict prevention because they anticipated tensions and engaged proactively with stakeholders.

5. El Development and Organizational Outcomes

Beyond individual competencies, studies underscore the organizational benefits of fostering EI among managers. Organizations that invest in EI development through training and coaching often report improvements in team collaboration, job satisfaction, and conflict resolution outcomes (Cherniss & Goleman, 2001). EI training enhances self-awareness, social skills, and perspective-taking, which contribute to a culture where conflicts are addressed constructively (Jordan, Ashkanasy, & Härtel, 2002). A meta-analysis by Miao, Humphrey, and Qian (2017) concluded that emotional intelligence training positively influences leadership effectiveness and team dynamics. They reported that emotionally intelligent leaders were more effective at managing conflict, maintaining team cohesion, and reducing turnover. Similarly, Keele and DeNotaris (2009) found that organizations emphasizing emotional competencies in managerial roles experienced fewer dysfunctional conflicts and greater innovation.

6. Critiques and Boundary Conditions

While the literature generally supports the positive role of EI in conflict management, some scholars caution against overreliance on EI as a panacea. Critics argue that EI's conceptual definitions are broad and sometimes overlap with personality traits such as agreeableness or social competence (Landy, 2005). Additionally, EI's predictive power may vary depending on the organizational context, cultural norms, and the nature of the conflict (Mayer, Caruso, & Salovey, 2016). Research also suggests boundary conditions for EI's effectiveness. For instance, in highly competitive environments where

structural incentives reward individual performance, the benefits of EI may be attenuated (O'Boyle, Humphrey, Pollack, Hawver, & Story, 2011). Similarly, in cultures that discourage emotional expression, the role of EI in conflict management may manifest differently (Li et al., 2013).

7. Synthesis and Implications

The literature consistently highlights that emotional intelligence enhances conflict management effectiveness by improving perceptual accuracy, emotional regulation, empathy, and social skills. For middle-level managers, these competencies are particularly salient due to the dual pressures from organizational demands and interpersonal challenges. El enables managers to navigate conflict with empathy, maintain composure, and facilitate collaborative problem-solving, thereby contributing to organizational stability and performance. Practically, organizations should consider integrating El development into leadership training, performance appraisals, and talent management processes. This integration not only supports conflict resolution but also fosters resilient and adaptive managerial behavior in an era characterized by change and complexity.

Objectives of the Study

- i. To assess the emotional intelligence levels of middle-level managers.
- ii. To examine how emotional intelligence influences conflict management skills.
- iii. To analyze the relationship between emotional intelligence and conflict resolution effectiveness in middle-level managerial roles.
- iv. To study the role of emotional intelligence in promoting teamwork and reducing interpersonal conflicts within organizations.

Research Methodology

Research Design: The present study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design to examine the role of emotional intelligence in managing workplace conflict among middle-level managers. A descriptive approach was used to understand the existing level of emotional intelligence and conflict management practices, while an analytical approach helped in examining relationships between emotional intelligence, conflict resolution effectiveness, teamwork, and interpersonal conflict reduction.

Nature of the Study: The study is **empirical in nature**, as it is based on primary data collected directly from respondents. Empirical analysis allows for objective evaluation of emotional intelligence and its influence on workplace conflict management.

Population and Sample: The target population of the study consists of **middle-level managers** working in various organizations. A sample size of **200 middle-level managers** was selected for the study, which is considered adequate for meaningful statistical analysis.

Sampling Technique: A **convenience sampling method** was adopted due to accessibility and time constraints. Respondents were selected based on their availability and willingness to participate in the survey.

Data Collection Method: Primary data were collected using a **structured questionnaire** designed to measure emotional intelligence levels, conflict management skills, conflict resolution effectiveness, teamwork, and interpersonal conflict reduction. The questionnaire consisted of **Likert scale-based statements** with multiple-choice responses to ensure consistency and ease of analysis.

Sources of Data

Primary Data: Collected through questionnaires from 200 middle-level managers.

Secondary Data: Collected from books, research journals, published articles, reports, and online academic sources related to emotional intelligence and workplace conflict management.

Tools and Techniques Used for Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using the following statistical tools:

- Descriptive Statistics
- Percentage Analysis
- Cross-Tabulation
- Comparative Analysis
- Logical Inferential Interpretation

These tools were used to interpret patterns, relationships, and trends without altering the original data.

Period of the Study: The study was conducted over a **limited period**, during which data collection and analysis were completed.

Limitations of the Study

- > The study is limited to **200 respondents**, which may not fully represent all organizations or industries.
- > The use of **convenience sampling** may restrict generalization of results.
- > Responses are based on **self-reported data**, which may involve personal bias.
- > The study focuses only on **middle-level managers**, excluding top-level and lower-level employees.

Statistical Analysis and Interpretation

Introduction to Data Analysis: This chapter presents a detailed statistical analysis and interpretation of primary data collected from 200 middle-level managers to examine the role of emotional intelligence (EI) in managing workplace conflict. Emotional intelligence is widely recognized as a crucial managerial competency that influences interpersonal relationships, decision-making, leadership effectiveness, and conflict management. The analysis focuses on four key objectives: assessing EI levels, examining EI's influence on conflict management skills, analyzing the relationship between EI and conflict resolution effectiveness, and evaluating EI's role in promoting teamwork and reducing interpersonal conflict. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, percentage analysis, cross-tabulation, and logical inferential interpretation. These tools are appropriate for understanding patterns, relationships, and managerial behavior without altering the original data.

Table: 1 Objective 1: Emotional Intelligence Level of Middle-Level Managers

Emotional Intelligence Level	Respondents	Percentage		
High El	82	41%		
Moderate EI	88	44%		
Low El	30	15%		
Total	200	100%		

Analysis: The percentage analysis reveals that 41% of middle-level managers possess high emotional intelligence, while 44% fall under the moderate El category. Together, these two groups constitute 85% of the total respondents, leaving only 15% with low emotional intelligence. This distribution indicates that emotional intelligence is fairly well developed among most middle-level managers. The dominance of moderate and high El levels suggests that emotional awareness,

emotional regulation, empathy, and social skills are relatively strong among managers operating at the middle level of organizational hierarchy. Since middle-level managers act as a bridge between top management and operational staff, emotional competence at this level is particularly important for maintaining organizational harmony.

Interpretation:

The findings imply that most middle-level managers are **emotionally equipped to handle workplace challenges**, including conflict situations. High and moderate EI levels indicate a greater ability to understand one's own emotions and those of others, regulate emotional responses, and communicate effectively during stressful situations. The small percentage of low EI managers, however, signals a potential risk area, as inadequate emotional intelligence may lead to poor conflict handling, strained relationships, and reduced team effectiveness. Overall, the results highlight emotional intelligence as a **critical managerial strength** that can be further enhanced through training and development initiatives.

Table: 2 Objective 2: Influence of Emotional Intelligence on Conflict Management Skills

El Component	Strong Influence	Moderate Influence	Low Influence	Total
Communication Skills	96	74	30	200
Empathy	102	68	30	200
Self-Control	88	82	30	200

Analysis: The data show that emotional intelligence has a strong influence on key conflict management skills. In the case of communication skills, 48% of respondents reported a strong influence of EI, while 37% indicated moderate influence. Only 15% perceived low influence, suggesting that emotional intelligence significantly enhances effective communication during conflicts. Empathy recorded the highest strong influence, with 51% of respondents acknowledging that emotional intelligence strongly affects their ability to understand others' feelings and perspectives. Self-control also shows a high combined strong and moderate influence (85%), highlighting EI's role in managing emotional reactions during conflict situations. Across all three components, a consistent pattern emerges: emotional intelligence strongly or moderately influences conflict management skills for more than 80% of respondents.

Interpretation:

The findings confirm that emotional intelligence is a **key driver of effective conflict management skills**. Managers with higher El are better able to listen actively, express themselves clearly, understand emotional cues, and regulate impulsive reactions. Empathy stands out as the most influenced skill, emphasizing that understanding others' emotions is central to resolving conflicts constructively. The results suggest that emotionally intelligent managers are more likely to adopt collaborative and problem-solving approaches rather than aggressive or avoidant conflict styles. This reinforces the importance of emotional intelligence in developing mature, balanced, and people-oriented managerial behavior.

Table:3 Objective 3: Relationship Between Emotional Intelligence and Conflict Resolution Effectiveness

El Level	Effective	Moderately Effective	Ineffective	Total
High El	68	12	2	82

Moderate El	36	44	8	88
Low El	6	10	14	30
Total	110	66	24	200

Analysis: The cross-tabulated data reveal a clear relationship between emotional intelligence level and conflict resolution effectiveness. Among managers with high EI, 83% resolve conflicts effectively, while only 2% report ineffective resolution. In contrast, among managers with low EI, nearly half (47%) experience ineffective conflict resolution, and only 20% report effective outcomes. Managers with moderate EI tend to fall in the moderately effective category, indicating partial success in handling conflicts. The data demonstrate a progressive decline in conflict resolution effectiveness as EI levels decrease.

Interpretation:

This pattern strongly suggests a **positive association between emotional intelligence and conflict resolution effectiveness**. High El enables managers to remain calm, objective, and solution-oriented during conflicts, leading to constructive outcomes. Low El managers, on the other hand, may react emotionally, misinterpret situations, or fail to manage interpersonal tensions, resulting in unresolved or escalated conflicts. The findings validate emotional intelligence as a **significant predictor of conflict resolution success** and emphasize the need to develop El competencies among managers to enhance organizational effectiveness.

Table:4 Objective 4: Role of Emotional Intelligence in Teamwork and Reduction of Interpersonal Conflict

Statement	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Total
El improves teamwork	122	54	24	200
El reduces interpersonal conflict	128	46	26	200

Analysis: The results show that **61% of respondents agree** that emotional intelligence improves teamwork, while **64% agree** that it reduces interpersonal conflict. A relatively small percentage of respondents disagree with these statements, indicating general consensus on the positive role of El in team dynamics. The neutral responses suggest that some managers may not consciously link emotional intelligence with teamwork outcomes, possibly due to limited awareness or lack of formal El training.

Interpretation:

The findings confirm that emotional intelligence plays a **significant role in fostering teamwork and minimizing interpersonal conflicts**. Emotionally intelligent managers promote trust, open communication, and mutual respect within teams, which reduces misunderstandings and friction. By effectively managing emotions, managers create a psychologically safe environment where employees feel valued and heard. This contributes to stronger collaboration, improved morale, and sustained team performance.

Overall Interpretation and Research Implications:

The comprehensive statistical analysis provides strong evidence that emotional intelligence is a **crucial factor in managing workplace conflict among middle-level managers**. The majority of managers possess moderate to high EI, which positively influences their communication, empathy, self-control, conflict resolution effectiveness, and team management abilities. The study highlights that

organizations should prioritize emotional intelligence development through **training programs**, **leadership workshops**, **and behavioral assessments**. Enhancing El among managers can lead to better conflict handling, improved teamwork, and a healthier organizational climate.

Conclusion

The present study was undertaken to analyze the **role of emotional intelligence in managing workplace conflict among middle-level managers**. Based on the statistical analysis and interpretation of data collected from 200 respondents, several meaningful conclusions have been drawn. The study reveals that the majority of middle-level managers possess **moderate to high levels of emotional intelligence**, indicating a strong emotional foundation for handling workplace challenges.

Emotional intelligence was found to significantly influence key conflict management skills such as communication, empathy, and self-control. Managers with higher emotional intelligence demonstrated a greater ability to understand emotional cues, regulate emotional reactions, and engage in constructive dialogue during conflict situations. The findings further establish a **positive relationship between emotional intelligence and conflict resolution effectiveness**. Managers with high emotional intelligence were more successful in resolving conflicts effectively, while those with low emotional intelligence experienced greater difficulty in managing conflicts. This highlights emotional intelligence as a critical determinant of successful conflict resolution in organizational settings. Additionally, the study confirms that emotional intelligence plays an important role in **promoting teamwork and reducing interpersonal conflicts**. Emotionally intelligent managers foster trust, cooperation, and mutual respect within teams, leading to a healthier and more collaborative work environment. Such an environment not only minimizes conflicts but also enhances employee morale and overall organizational performance.

In conclusion, emotional intelligence emerges as a **vital managerial competency** that significantly contributes to effective workplace conflict management. Organizations should therefore recognize the importance of emotional intelligence and invest in **training and development programs** aimed at enhancing EI competencies among managers. Strengthening emotional intelligence at the managerial level can lead to improved conflict handling, better teamwork, and long-term organizational success.

References

Ashkanasy, N. M., & Daus, C. S. (2002). Emotion in the workplace: The new challenge for managers. *Academy of Management Executive*, 16(1), 76–86.

Baligh, H. H. (2006). Organization Structure: Theory and Design. Springer.

Boyatzis, R. E., Goleman, D., & Rhee, K. (2000). *Clustering Competence in Emotional Intelligence*. Hay/McBer.

Cherniss, C., & Goleman, D. (2001). The Emotionally Intelligent Workplace. Jossey-Bass.

Côté, S., & Miners, C. T. H. (2006). Emotional intelligence, cognitive intelligence, and job performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 51(1), 1–28.

Davis, M. H. (1983). Measuring individual differences in empathy. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 44(1), 113–126.

De Dreu, C. K. W., & Gelfand, M. J. (2008). Conflict in the workplace. *Handbook of Organizational Behavior*.

Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350–383.

Goleman, D. (1995). Emotional Intelligence. Bantam Books.

Gross, J. J. (1998). The emerging field of emotion regulation. *Review of General Psychology*, 2(3), 271–299.

Jordan, P. J., & Troth, A. C. (2004). Managing emotions during team problem solving. *Human Performance*, 17(2), 195–218.

- Law, K. S., Wong, C.-S., & Song, L. J. (2004). The construct and criterion validity of emotional intelligence. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(2), 483–496.
- Mayer, J. D., & Salovey, P. (1997). What is emotional intelligence? *Emotional Development and Emotional Intelligence*, 3–31.
- Miao, C., Humphrey, R. H., & Qian, S. (2017). Emotional intelligence and leadership. *Leadership Quarterly*, 28(3), 413–434.
- O'Boyle, E. H., Humphrey, R. H., Pollack, J. M., Hawver, T., & Story, P. (2011). The relation between emotional intelligence and job performance. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 32(5), 788–818.
- Rahim, M. A. (2002). Toward a theory of managing organizational conflict. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 13(3), 206–235.
- Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality*, 9(3), 185–211.